

REMARKS ON THE INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SETS. II

Krassimir T. Atanassov¹ and Darinka K. Stoyanova²

1 - Center on Biomedical Engineering - Bulg. Academy of Sci.
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 105, Sofia-1113, BULGARIA
and

Math. Research Lab., P.O.Box 12, Sofia-1113
e-mails: krat@bgcict.bitnet and krat@bgearn.bitnet

2 - Complex Vazrajane, Bl. 1, Ap. 76, Varna, Bulgaria

ABSTRACT: Four intuitionistic fuzzy interpretations of the Zimmermann-Zysno's grade of compensation are given.

KEY WORDS: fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, Zimmermann-Zysno's grade of compensation are given.

Let E be a fixed universe and let A be an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS) [1] over E with the form:

$$A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle / x \in E \},$$

where the functions $\mu_A : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_A : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element $x \in E$ to the set A, which is a subset of E, respectively, and for every $x \in E$:

$$0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1.$$

The Zimmermann-Zysno's grade of compensation in the sense of [2, 3] in the intuitionistic fuzzy case can be represented for two IFSs A and B about parameters $\Gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ and $\Gamma + \delta \leq 1$, and for every $x \in E$ by four ways:

$$Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^1(A, B)(x) = \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^\delta \cdot (1 - \min(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)))^\Gamma,$$

$$Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^2(A, B)(x) = \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^\delta \cdot (1 - \max(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)))^\Gamma,$$

$$Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^3(A, B)(x) = \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^\delta \cdot (1 - \min(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)))^\Gamma,$$

$$Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^4(A, B)(x) = \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^\delta \cdot (1 - \max(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)))^\Gamma.$$

Obviously, for every two IFSs A and B, for every $\Gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ for which $\Gamma + \delta \leq 1$, for every i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and for every $x \in E$:

$$Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^i(A, B)(x) \in [0, 1].$$

When for $x \in E$: $\gamma_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x)$ and $\gamma_B(x) = 1 - \mu_B(x)$ and $\delta = 1 - \Gamma$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Gamma, 1-\Gamma}^1(A, B)(x) &= \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^{1-\Gamma} \cdot (1 - \min(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)))^\Gamma \\ &= \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^{1-\Gamma} \cdot (1 - \min(1 - \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_B(x)))^\Gamma \\ &= \min(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^{1-\Gamma} \cdot \max(\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x))^\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the particular case of the fuzzy sets, this grade of compensation coincides with the Zimmermann-Zysno's one.

THEOREM: For every two IFSSs A and B, for every $x \in E$ and for every $\Gamma, \delta \in [0, 1]$ and $\Gamma + \delta \leq 1$:

$$(a) \quad Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^2(A, B)(x) \leq Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^1(A, B)(x) \leq Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^3(A, B)(x),$$

$$(b) \quad Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^2(A, B)(x) \leq Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^4(A, B)(x) \leq Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^3(A, B)(x).$$

When the IFSSs A and B coincide, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_{\Gamma, \delta}^1(A)(x) &= Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^1(A, A)(x) = \mu_A(x)^\delta \cdot (1 - \gamma_A(x))^\Gamma \\ &= Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^2(A, A)(x) = Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^3(A, A)(x) = Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^4(A, A)(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $\bar{Z}_{\Gamma, \delta}^1$ can be used as a norm of the element $x \in E$ about set A.

Let for every two IFSSs A and B and for every i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$):

$$Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^i(A, B) = \{Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^i(A, B)(x) / x \in E\}.$$

Then, $Z_{\Gamma, \delta}^1(A, B) \subset [0, 1]$.

REFERENCES:

- [1] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems Vol. 20 (1986), No. 1, 87-96.
- [2] H.-J. Zimmermann, P. Zysno, Latent connectives in human decision making, Fuzzy sets and Systems Vol. 4 (1980), No. 1, 37-51.
- [3] H.-J. Zimmermann, P. Zysno, Decisions and evaluations by hierarchical aggregation of information, Fuzzy sets and Systems Vol. 10 (1983), No. 3, 243-260.